

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,959	09/22/2003	Thomas E. Jasper	LOCM-03C	1196
26875	7590 01/12/2005		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			GALL, LLOYD A	
	EW TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
441 VINE STREET			AKTONII	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINN	CINCINNATI, OH 45202			
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/12/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/ ··	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/667,959	JASPER, THOMAS E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC. DATE:	Lloyd A. Gall	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • •	, ,				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(DTO 412)				
Notice of Natiserson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

DETAILED ACTION

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Portions of page 10, line 1 are illegible. On page 18, line 22, "move" is misspelled. On page 21, line 3, portions are illegible. On page 22, line 1, "Therefore" is misspelled. On page 36, line 2, "cover" is misspelled. On page 39, line 1, "engagement" is misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 36 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,622,534 in view of Breitschwerdt et al. Claims 1-24 of US Patent No. 6,622,534 teach all of the claimed structure including the housing, dead bolt, lock, dead bolt retracting structure and the escape lever. Claims 1-24 do not teach an inertia member. Breitschwerdt et al teaches an inertia member 11 or 31 used with an operating lever 7, 27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an inertia member with the escape lever of claims 1-24 of US Patent No. 6,622,534, in view of the teaching of Breitschwerdt et al, the motivation being to prevent unwanted actuation of the escape lever upon impact to the door.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Application/Control Number: 10/667,959

Art Unit: 3676

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soemer (667) in view of Breitschwerdt et al.

Soemer teaches a housing structure 10, a dead bolt 12, a lock 62, 64, 66, 50 to allow or prevent the dead bolt from being retracted by dead bolt retracting structure 32, 22, and an escape lever 44, 48 to allow the dead bolt to be retracted by rocking dog 38 when the lock is in either a locked or unlocked condition. Breitschwerdt et al teaches an inertia member 11 or 31 used with an operating lever 7, 27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an inertia member with the escape lever of Soemer, in view of the teaching of Breitschwerdt et al, the motivation being to prevent unwanted escape lever actuation upon impact to the door.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jooss et al, Monig et al, Stuart et al, Tanimoto et al, Linder et al, and Kang also teach inertia members.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,959 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 703-308-0828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LG (G) January 8, 2005

Lloyd A. Gali Primary Examiner